

AD-A245 338



NAVSWC TR 91-250

2

## ESTIMATION OF METAL ACCELERATION BY AN SF<sub>5</sub> CONTAINING EXPLOSIVE

BY HORST G. ADOLPH and G. WILLIAM LAWRENCE

RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT

30 JUNE 1991

DTIC  
ELECTE  
JAN 30 1992  
S B D

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.



**NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER**

Dahlgren, Virginia 22448-5000 • Silver Spring, Maryland 20903-5000

92-02282



**ESTIMATION OF METAL ACCELERATION  
BY AN SF<sub>5</sub> CONTAINING EXPLOSIVE**

**BY HORST G. ADOLPH and G. WILLIAM LAWRENCE  
RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT**

**30 JUNE 1991**

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

**NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER**  
**Dahlgren, Virginia 22448-5000 • Silver Spring, Maryland 20903-5000**

# FOREWORD

Interest has persisted in the SF<sub>5</sub> group as an oxidizing and property-modifying moiety for C, H, N, O explosive compounds. In the present report, cylinder expansion test results for an explosive containing an SF<sub>5</sub> compound are used to assess the ability of the SF<sub>5</sub> group to contribute to metal acceleration.

The authors thank P. Thomas and D. Remmers for the preparation of the charge. The SF<sub>5</sub> compound used in this work was prepared by M. Sitzmann.

The cylinder test was performed at the Los Alamos National Laboratory by I. Akst with the assistance of A. Gallegos, C. Martinez, and D. Hughes.

The work was funded by the Office of Naval Technology, Code 232, through the Explosives and Undersea Warheads Block.

Approved by:

*William H. Bohli*

WILLIAM H. BOHLI, Head  
Energetic Materials Division



Accession For		
NTIS GRASI		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB		<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced		<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification		
By		
Date/Function/		
Availability Codes		
Avail and/or		
Spec	Special	
A-1		

## CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION .....	1
EXPERIMENTAL .....	3
CALCULATIONS .....	3
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....	4
ERROR ESTIMATES .....	5
CONCLUSIONS .....	7
REFERENCES .....	9
GLOSSARY .....	10
DISTRIBUTION .....	(1)


## TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	COMPOSITIONS USED FOR CYLINDER TEST AND CALCULATIONS .....	2
2	CYLINDER TEST RESULTS .....	4
3	CALCULATED AND EXPERIMENTAL CYLINDER WALL ENERGIES FOR COMPOSITIONS 13B/45, CW1, AND CW2 .....	5
4	COMPARISON OF CALCULATED AND EXPERIMENTAL CYLINDER WALL ENERGIES FOR SOME HMX COMPOSITIONS .....	6

## INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the work described here was to obtain an estimate of the relative metal accelerating ability of an SF<sub>5</sub>-containing explosive. The work is part of a continuing effort to assess the potential of the SF<sub>5</sub> moiety as an energetic group.<sup>1,2</sup> The approach taken was to perform a cylinder expansion test on a composition containing a significant amount of an SF<sub>5</sub> compound and to compare the results with the calculated performance of a corresponding composition containing an equal volume of an SF<sub>5</sub>-free analog (H substituted for SF<sub>5</sub>). A lack of heat of formation data on SF<sub>5</sub> compounds prevented calculation of the performance of the SF<sub>5</sub> composition and direct comparison with the experimental data.


The SF<sub>5</sub> compound and its H analog used in this work have the structures 1 and 2, respectively, and the properties listed below. Compound 2 was prepared by conversion of 3,6-dinitro-3,6-diazaoctane-1,8-diol to the bis(chlorocarbonate) followed by reaction with ammonia;<sup>3</sup> 1 was obtained by the literature procedure.<sup>4</sup>



1; C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>8</sub>F<sub>10</sub>S<sub>2</sub>

MP = 144-146°C

ρ<sub>0</sub> = 1.903 g/cm<sup>3</sup>



2; C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>8</sub>

MP = 181-183°C

ρ<sub>0</sub> = 1.545 g/cm<sup>3</sup>

ΔH<sub>f</sub> = -231.8 kcal/mol

The three compositions used in obtaining the performance estimate for 1 are shown in Table 1. These compositions were chosen because cylinder test data were available for the "baseline" composition, and partial substitution of the HMX by 2 and 1, respectively, provided suitable model compositions for evaluation of the SF<sub>5</sub> contribution.

TABLE 1. COMPOSITIONS USED FOR CYLINDER TEST AND CALCULATIONS

Composition Ingredient		Baseline (13B/45)	Composition with 1 (CW1)	Composition with 2 (CW2)
HMX	wt%	78.15	58.63	60.89
	vol%	74.72	56.09	56.12
ADDI- TIVE 1 or 2	wt%	-	19.55	16.49
	vol%	-	18.70	18.69
FEFO	wt%	15.89	15.90	16.49
	vol%	18.10	18.10	18.11
FPF-1	wt%	5.30	5.30	5.50
	vol%	6.06	6.05	6.06
CURA- TIVE (DESMO- DUR N- 100)	wt%	0.66	0.62	0.62
	vol%	1.13	1.07	1.03
DENSITY (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	TMD	1.818	1.818	1.751
	Actual (% TMD)	1.81 (99.6)	1.81 (99.6)	-

## EXPERIMENTAL

The charge for the cylinder test was prepared as described earlier.<sup>5</sup> It was observed that 1 inhibited the cure of the FPF-1 binder with Desmodur N-100 polyisocyanate, but this was overcome with the use of a larger amount of cure catalyst (dibutyltin dilaurate) and a longer cure time. HMX classes 3, 4, and 5 in a ratio of 2.24:1:1 were used. The particle size of 1 was not determined but was probably in the range 50-150mm (i.e., neither very small nor very large).

The densities of 1 and 2 were determined by x-ray diffraction,\* and the heat of formation of 2 was calculated from the heat of combustion.\*\*

The loaded cylinder was radiographed to verify the quality of the charge and to aid in positioning the expansion-monitoring slit.<sup>5</sup> The cylinder test was performed and the data were evaluated at the Los Alamos National Laboratory as described previously.<sup>5</sup>

## CALCULATIONS

Rather than risk a bias in a single computational method to calculate the cylinder energies needed for comparison with experimental data, it was decided to compare data from three known methods.

For the cylinder wall energy calculations with the KSM, a computer program was written based on the equations given in Reference 6.+

---

\*Unpublished work by R. Gilardi, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D. C.

\*\*Determined by E. Baroody, Naval Ordnance Station, Indian Head, MD.

+The original definitions of N and M were expanded to accommodate the presence of fluorine in the composition.

Equations for cylinder wall energies in MJ/Kg, based on least squares correlation of calculated Isp and experimental cylinder wall energies, have since been added<sup>7</sup> to the equation for detonation pressure given for the GAB method in Reference 8. They are

$$E(6\text{mm}) = 0.1272 (\text{Isp} \times \text{density})^{1.5} - 0.021, \text{ and } E(19\text{mm}) = 0.1580 (\text{Isp} \times \text{density})^{1.5} + 0.007,$$

where Isp is the specific impulse in newton-seconds/gram as calculated by the Propellant Evaluation Program<sup>9</sup> (PEP) and the density is in grams/cubic centimeter.

TIGER<sup>10</sup> calculations of cylinder energies were carried out according to procedures (written by Patricia Crawford of Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, October 15, 1986) sent with the TIGER distribution package. These procedures outline a series of subroutines that can be called to compare the new material to a reference material. In this case, HMX was chosen for the reference material. The output from these subroutines can then be converted to cylinder wall energy.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2 shows the results of the cylinder test for the composition CW1, and the previously reported<sup>5</sup> data for the baseline composition. To evaluate the contribution of

TABLE 2. CYLINDER TEST RESULTS

Composition	Detonation Velocity- [km sec <sup>-1</sup> ]	Arrival Time [μsec]			Wall Velocity [km sec <sup>-1</sup> ]			Wall Energy [MJ/Kg]		
		6mm	19mm	30mm	6mm	19mm	30mm	6mm	19mm	30mm
CW1	8.13 ± 0.01	5.03	13.32	19.87	1.45	1.65	1.71	1.05	1.36	1.46
Baseline (13B/45)	8.50 ± 0.005	4.68	12.40	18.52	1.55	1.78	1.82	1.20	1.58	1.66

the SF<sub>5</sub> group to the observed cylinder wall acceleration, the wall energies for the baseline composition and for the composition CW2 were calculated by three different methods: KSM,<sup>6</sup> GAB,<sup>8</sup> and TIGER.<sup>10</sup> The results for CW2 were then corrected by the ratio of the experimental and calculated values for the baseline composition for each calculational method. These values for CW2 were then compared with the experimental



$$E(\text{corr.}) = E(\text{calc'd}) \frac{E_{\text{BASELINE}}(\text{experimtl})}{E_{\text{BASELINE}}(\text{calc'd})}$$

values for CW1. The pertinent data are assembled in Table 3.

TABLE 3. CALCULATED AND EXPERIMENTAL CYLINDER WALL ENERGIES FOR COMPOSITIONS 13B/45, CW1, AND CW2

Composition Wall Energy (MJ/Kg)	13B/45		CW1		CW2	
	6mm	19mm	6mm	19mm	6mm	19mm
Calc'd by KSM	1.30	1.62	-	-	1.10	1.38
Calc'd by GAB	1.23	1.56	-	-	1.03	1.32
Calc'd by TIGER	1.253	1.572	-	-	1.071	1.340
KSM value corr.	-	-	-	-	1.02	1.35
GAB value corr.	-	-	-	-	1.00	1.34
TIGER value corr.	-	-	-	-	1.026	1.35
Average of corrected values	-	-	-	-	1.015	1.35
Experimental	1.20	1.58	1.05	1.36	-	-

Comparison of the average corrected value for the wall energies of CW2 with experimental values for CW1 shows the metal accelerating ability of the latter to be approximately equal or slightly greater.

#### ERROR ESTIMATES

The cylinder wall energies for CW1 may be uncertain to  $\pm 2\%$  due to experimental error, although  $\pm 1\%$  is considered more typical. The accuracy of the calculated average of corrected values for CW2 is more difficult to assess because not many experimental cylinder test data are available for similar compositions: Table 4 compares experimental wall energies for LX 09-0, RX 08-EL, Octol (78/22), LX 04, LX

TABLE 4. COMPARISON OF CALCULATED AND EXPERIMENTAL CYLINDER WALL ENERGIES FOR SOME HMX COMPOSITIONS\*

Wall Energy (MJ/Kg) Composition	$\rho_0$ g/cm <sup>3</sup>	Experimental		Calcd by KSM		Calcd by GAB		Calcd by TIGER	
		6mm	19mm	6mm ( $\Delta$ ,%)**	19mm ( $\Delta$ ,%)	6mm ( $\Delta$ ,%)	19mm ( $\Delta$ ,%)	6mm ( $\Delta$ ,%)	19mm ( $\Delta$ ,%)
LX 09-0	1.836	1.32	1.675	1.309 (0.8)	1.631 (2.6)	1.281 (3.0)	1.624 (3.0)	1.311 (0.7)	1.641 (2.0)
RX 08-EL	1.799	1.29	1.63	1.235 (4.3)	1.544 (5.3)	1.229 (4.7)	1.559 (4.4)	1.256 (2.6)	1.580 (3.1)
Octol (78/22)	1.813	1.215	1.535	1.218 (-0.2)	1.521 (0.9)	1.193 (1.8)	1.514 (1.4)	1.210 (0.4)	1.519 (1.0)
LX 04	1.865	1.17	1.47	1.293 (-10.5)	1.601 (-8.9)	1.177 (-0.6)	1.496 (-1.8)	1.196 (-2.2)	1.485 (-1.0)
LX 07-1	1.857	1.250	1.575	1.336 (-6.9)	1.654 (-5.0)	1.227 (1.8)	1.558 (1.1)	1.263 (-1.0)	1.566 (0.6)
LX 10-0	1.862	1.315	1.670	1.380 (-4.9)	1.707 (-2.2)	1.286 (2.2)	1.630 (2.4)	1.325 (-0.8)	1.645 (1.5)

\*Experimental Data from: B. M. Dobratz and P. C. Crawford, LLNL Explosives Handbook, UCRL 52997, Change 2, Jan 1985; P. C. Crawford, LLNL Cylinder Test Handbook, June 1987.


\*\*Difference between experimental and calculated value.

07, and LX 10 with values calculated by the same three methods. It can be seen that for the explosives LX 09, RX 08-EL, and Octol, the calculations are mostly lower than experimental, while the explosives containing fluorinated binders (LX 04, LX 07, LX 10) tend to calculate higher (especially with KSM) than experimental. However, the averages of the GAB and TIGER values for the 3 fluorinated explosives are within +2% of experimental. Since CW2 contains a similar amount of fluorine, it is perhaps justified to assume that the GAB and TIGER calculated values for it are of similar uncertainty. On this basis, CW2 and CW1 are again about equal in performance.


## CONCLUSIONS

The data presented above show the cylinder wall energy for CW1, the composition containing the SF<sub>5</sub> additive, to be 1.05 + 0.02 MJ/Kg at 6mm expansion and 1.36 ± 0.03 MJ/ Kg at 19mm expansion (standardized to 1" cylinder test). The analogous composition CW2, the composition with the inert additive, has calculated wall energies of 1.015 (6mm) and 1.35 (19mm) MJ/Kg. Based on the error estimates discussed above, the wall energies for the two compositions are essentially the same.

Because CW1 and CW2 contain only about 20% of the additives **1** or **2**, the performance of pure **1** and **2** could differ by as much as 10%. This compares with approximately 50% increase (based on KSM calculations) in performance when two hydrogens in **2** are replaced by two NO<sub>2</sub> groups to yield **3**. Thus, it is concluded that the SF<sub>5</sub> moiety will not be a major contributor to performance in metal acceleration, despite the large increase in density accompanying its presence. However, a small contribution is not ruled out by the present work.



**2**



**3**



$$\rho_0 = 1.545 \text{ g/cm}^3$$

$$\Delta H_f = -231.8 \text{ kcal/mol}$$

$$E_{6\text{mm}} = 0.595 \text{ MJ/Kg}$$

$$E_{19\text{mm}} = 0.767 \text{ MJ/Kg}$$

$$\rho_0 (\text{calc'd}) = 1.64 \text{ g/cm}^3$$

$$\Delta H_f (\text{calc'd}) = -201 \text{ kcal/mol}$$

$$E_{6\text{mm}} = 0.858 \text{ MJ/Kg}$$

$$E_{19\text{mm}} = 1.103 \text{ MJ/Kg}$$

As pointed out in reference 2, substitution of H by SF<sub>5</sub> (e.g., in a nitro compound) may have other beneficial effects such as desirable changes in melting point, glass transition temperature, vapor pressure, sensitivity, etc., which can justify the use of SF<sub>5</sub> in energetic molecules even if it is not a major energy carrier.

## REFERENCES

1. Ornellas, D. L., Propell., Explos., and Pyrotech., 1989, 122.
2. Sitzmann, M. E., and Ornellas, D. L., Proceedings of the Ninth Symposium (International) on Detonation, Portland, OR, 1989; OCNR 113291-7, Office of the Chief of Naval Research.
3. Sitzmann, M. E., Naval Surface Warfare Center, personal communication to Adolph, H. G. concerning the synthesis of compound 2, June 1991.
4. Sitzmann, M. E., and Gilligan, W. H., U.S. Patent 4,831,186 (1989).
5. Gill, R. C., Adolph, H. G., and Akst, I. B., Metal Acceleration of Some Aluminum and Magnesium Containing PBXs with Fluorinated Binders, NSWC TR 91-84, Jan 1991.
6. Short, J. M., Adolph, H. G., and Kamlet, M. J., Proceedings, Seventh Symposium (Internatl.) on Detonation, Annapolis, MD, 1981; NSWC MP 82-334.
7. Baroody, E. E., Naval Ordnance Station, Indian Head, MD, personal communication to Lawrence, G. W., concerning the GAB calculations of detonation properties, April 1991.
8. Gill, R., Asaoka, L., and Baroody, E., J. Energetic Mater., 1987, 287.
9. Price, Chan, Propellant Evaluation Program for the IBM PC, NEWPEP; Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, CA 1988.
10. Calef, D., TIGER for the IBM PC, Version 0.2, documented in: Cowperthwaite, M. and Zwisler, W. H., TIGER Computer Program Documentation, Vols. I-IV, SRI Publication No. Z106, Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, CA 94025, 1974.

GLOSSARY

HMX	N,N',N'',N'''-Tetranitro-1,3,5,7-tetraazacyclooctane
FEFO	Bis(2,2,2-fluorodinitroethyl)formal
FPF-1	Poly(2,2,3,3,4,4-hexafluoropentanediol formal)
DESMODUR N-100	A trifunctional isocyanate obtained from MOBAY Chemical Corporation
KSM	Kamlet Short Method
GAB	Gill-Asaoka-Earody
TIGER	A hydrodynamic-thermodynamic computer code for calculating detonation parameters
LX 09	HMX/Poly(2,2-dinitropropyl acrylate)/FEFO
RX 08-EL	HMX/FEFO/Polycaprolactone/Poly(vinyl formal)
OCTOL	HMX/TNT (78/22)
LX 04	HMX/VITON (85/15)
LX 07	HMX/VITON (90/10)
LX 10	HMX/VITON (95/5)

DISTRIBUTION

	<u>Copies</u>		<u>Copies</u>
USD(A)/DDRE (R/AT/ET)		Director	
Staff Specialist for Weapons		Defense Nuclear Agency	
Technology		Attn: Technical Library	1
Attn: R. Menz		Washington, DC 20305	
The Pentagon	1	Chairman	
Washington, DC 20301		Department of Defense	
Defense Technical Information		Explosives Safety Board	
Center		Attn: 6-A-145	1
Cameron Station	12	DDESB-KT	1
Alexandria, VA 22304-6145		Hoffman Building 1	
Director		2461 Eisenhower Avenue	
Defense Research and		Alexandria, VA 22331	
Engineering		Office of the Chief of	
Attn: Library	1	Naval Research	
Washington, DC 20305		Attn: ONR 1132P	
Director		(R. Miller)	1
Defense Advanced Research		ONT 213	
Projects Agency		(D. Siegel)	1
Attn: Library		ONT 23	
1400 Wilson Blvd.	1	(A. Faulstich)	1
Arlington, VA 22209		ONT 232	
Institute for Defense Analyses		(D. Houser)	1
Attn: Russell Fries for		800 N. Quincy St., BCT 1	
Technical Library	1	Arlington, VA 22217-5000	
1801 N. Beauregard St.			
Alexandria, VA 22311			

NAVSWC TR 91-250

DISTRIBUTION (Cont.)

	<u>Copies</u>		<u>Copies</u>
Center for Naval Analyses		Commander	
Attn: Joe Hanna for		Space and Naval Warfare	
Technical Library	1	Systems Command	
4401 Ford Avenue		Attn: SPAWAR-05	1
Box 16268		Washington, DC 20363-5100	
Alexandria, VA 22302			
Commanding Officer		Commander	
Naval Research Laboratory		David Taylor Research Center	
Attn: Technical Library	1	Attn: Technical Library	1
Washington, DC 20375		Bethesda, MD 20084	
Chief of Naval Operations		Commander	
Attn: OP-987	1	David Taylor Research Center	
Navy Department		Attn: Code 177	1
Washington, DC 20350		Technical Library	1
Commander		Portsmouth, VA 23709	
Naval Air Systems Command		Commander	
Attn: AIR-540	1	Naval Underwater Systems Center	
Technical Library	1	Attn: Technical Library	1
Washington, DC 20361		Newport, RI 02840	
Commander		Commander	
Naval Sea Systems Command		Naval Weapons Center	
Attn: SEA-05R	1	Attn: Code 32	1
SEA-06B	1	Code 3205	1
SEA-06U	1	Code 3208	1
SEA-62	1	Code 321	1
SEA-63	1	Code 32103	1
SEA-662	1	Code 326	1
SEA-6622	1	Code 3211	1
SEA-666	1	Code 3212	1
Washington, DC 20362-5105		Code 3213	1
		China Lake, CA 93555	



NAVSWC TR 91-250

DISTRIBUTION (Cont.)

	<u>Copies</u>		<u>Copies</u>
Commander		Superintendent	
Naval Weapons Center		Naval Postgraduate School	
Attn: Code 3214	1	Attn: Library	1
Code 3261	1	Monterey, CA 93940	
Code 385	1		
Code 3891 (M. Chan)	1	Commanding Officer	
Technical Library	1	Naval Ordnance Station	
China Lake, CA 93555		Detachment	
		Naval Weapons Station	
Commanding General		Attn: Code 470A	1
Marine Corps Development		Code 35	1
and Education Command		J. Zehmer	1
Attn: Library	1	J. Roudabush	1
Marine Corps Landing Force		Library	1
Development Center		Yorktown, VA 23691-5000	
Quantico, VA 22134			
		Commanding Officer	
Commanding Officer		Naval Weapons Support Center	
Naval Explosive Ordnance		Attn: Library	1
Disposal Technology Center		Crane, IN 47522	
Attn: Technical Library	1		
Indian Head, MD 20640		Army Armament Research and	
		Development Command	
Commanding Officer		Attn: SMCAR-AEE-WW	1
Naval Intelligence Support		SMCAR-AEE (J. Lannon)	1
Center		Technical Library	1
4301 Suitland Road		Dover, NJ 07801	
Washington, DC 20390-5140	1		
		Library of Congress	
Commanding Officer		Attn: Gift and Exchange Division	
Naval Ordnance Station		Washington, DC 20540	4
Attn: Code 2730D	1		
Technical Library	1		
J. Chang	1		
P. Dendor	1		
Indian Head, MD 20640			

DISTRIBUTION (Cont.)

	<u>Copies</u>		<u>Copies</u>
Army Ballistic Research Laboratories		Los Alamos National Laboratory	
Attn: DRDAR-BLP	1	Attn: Helen Bustos	
SLCRB-TB-E	1	Classified Custodian	
SLCRBR-IB-I (P. Kaste)	1	for Library	1
Technical Library	1	M-8	1
Aberdeen Proving Ground		L. Chapman	1
Aberdeen, MD 21005		J. Repa	1
		MST-DO/MS G-756	1
		P. O. Box 1663	
Commanding Officer		Los Alamos, NM 87545	
Harry Diamond Laboratories			
Attn: Library	1	Sandia National Laboratories	
2800 Powder Mill Road		Attn: E. Austin, Tech. Library	
Adelphi, MD 20783		Reports Receiving	
		Clerk	1
Air Force Armament Division		P. O. Box 5800	
Attn: AFATL/MNE	1	Albuquerque, NM 87185	
AFATL/MNW	1		
Library	1	Sandia National Laboratories	
Eglin Air Force Base, FL		Attn: Darlene M. Loll for	
32542-6009		Technical Library	1
		P. O. Box 969	
University of California		Livermore, CA 94550-0096	
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory			
Attn: H. Jean Highy, Assoc.		The Johns Hopkins University	
Admin., Reports Section		Applied Physics Laboratory	
L53 for Technical		Attn: DeAnne Jones for	
Library	1	Technical Library	1
R. Simpson	1	Johns Hopkins Road	
P. Crawford	1	Laurel, MD 20707-6099	
W. Tao	1		
C. Tarver	1		
P. O. Box 808			
Livermore, CA 94550			

DISTRIBUTION (Cont.)

	<u>Copies</u>		<u>Copies</u>
New Mexico Institute of Mining Technology		Air Force Intelligence Service	
Attn: Elizabeth A. Till,		Attn: AFIS/INTAW	
Technical Writer,		(MAJ R. Esaw)	1
Security,		Bolling Air Force Base	
Administrator	1	Washington, DC 20332-5000	
Code TERA			
(M. Kempton)	1	SRI International	
Code CETR		Attn: Darlene T. Sherwood,	
(T. Joyner)	1	Manager, Security	
(P. Persson)	1	Adm. Opeations	1
Campus Station		333 Ravenswood Avenue	
Socorro, NM 87801		Menlo Park, CA 94025	
Applied Physics Laboratory		Batelle Memorial Laboratory	
Pennsylvania State University		Tactical Technology Center	
Attn: Librarian	1	Attn: Josephine Huggins,	
E. Liska	1	Acquisition Officer	1
N. Atherton St.		505 King Avenue	
P. O. Box 30,		Columbus, OH 43201	
University Park		Internal Distribution:	
State College, PA 16804		E231	2
		E232	3
Air Force Astronautics Laboratory		G13 (D. Dickinson)	1
ATAL/MKPL		G22	1
Attn: C. Merrill	1	G22 (W. Holt)	1
Edwards Air Force Base, CA		G22 (S. Waggener)	1
93521		R	1
		R04	1
		R10	1
Air Force Academy		R10A	1
Attn: FJSRL/MC (J. Wilkes)	1	R10A2	1
Colorado Springs, CO 80840		R10B	1
		R101	1

NAVSWC TR 91-250

DISTRIBUTION (Cont.)

Copies

Internal Distribution: (Cont.)

R11	1
R11 (H. Adolph)	10
R11 (E. Anderson)	1
R11 (D. Cichra)	1
R11 (S. Collignon)	1
R11 (F. Gallant)	1
R11 (C. Gotzmer)	1
R11 (N. Johnson)	1
R11 (G. W. Lawrence)	1
R11 (Y. Tran)	1
R12	1
R12 (B. Baudler)	1
R12 (P. Spahn)	1
R12 (T. Spivak)	1
R13	1
R13 (R. Bernecker)	1
R13 (J. Forbes)	1
R13 (P. Miller)	1
R13 (H. Sandusky)	1
R14	1
R15	1
C72W (R. Johnson)	1

**REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE**Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503

<b>1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)</b>		<b>2. REPORT DATE</b> 30 JUNE 1991	<b>3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED</b> N/A
<b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</b> Estimation of Metal Acceleration by an SF <sub>5</sub> -Containing Explosive			<b>5. FUNDING NUMBERS</b>
<b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b> Horst G. Adolph and G. William Lawrence			
<b>7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> Naval Surface Warfare Center 10901 New Hampshire Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20903-5000			<b>8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER</b>  NAVSWC TR 91 -250
<b>9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> Office of Naval Technology 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217			<b>10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER</b>
<b>11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b>			
<b>12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT</b> Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			<b>12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE</b>
<b>13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)</b> <p>A two-inch cylinder expansion test was performed on a composition containing HMX, an energetic binder and 19 percent of a compound containing SF<sub>5</sub> groups. The experimental cylinder wall energies were compared with calculated data for an analogous composition devoid of SF<sub>5</sub>. The results of this work suggest that replacing hydrogen by the SF<sub>5</sub> oxidizer moiety in a C,H,N,O explosive neither increases or decreases its metal accelerating ability substantially.</p>			
<b>14. SUBJECT TERMS</b> Pentafluorosulfanyl Compounds    Explosive Performance Cylinder Expansion Test			<b>15. NUMBER OF PAGES</b> 23
			<b>16. PRICE CODE</b>
<b>17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT</b> UNCLASSIFIED	<b>18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE</b> UNCLASSIFIED	<b>19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT</b> UNCLASSIFIED	<b>20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT</b> SAR

## GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING SF 298

The Report Documentation Page (RDP) is used in announcing and cataloging reports. It is important that this information be consistent with the rest of the report, particularly the cover and its title page. Instructions for filling in each block of the form follow. It is important to *stay within the lines* to meet optical scanning requirements.

### Block 1. Agency Use Only (Leave blank).

**Block 2. Report Date.** Full publication date including day, month, and year, if available (e.g. 1 Jan 88). Must cite at least the year.

**Block 3. Type of Report and Dates Covered.** State whether report is interim, final, etc. If applicable, enter inclusive report dates (e.g. 10 Jun 87 - 30 Jun 88).

**Block 4. Title and Subtitle.** A title is taken from the part of the report that provides the most meaningful and complete information. When a report is prepared in more than one volume, repeat the primary title, add volume number, and include subtitle for the specific volume. On classified documents enter the title classification in parentheses.

**Block 5. Funding Numbers.** To include contract and grant numbers; may include program element number(s), project number(s), task number(s), and work unit number(s). Use the following labels:

C - Contract	PR - Project
G - Grant	TA - Task
PE - Program Element	WU - Work Unit Accession No.

**BLOCK 6. Author(s).** Name(s) of person(s) responsible for writing the report, performing the research, or credited with the content of the report. If editor or compiler, this should follow the name(s).

**Block 7. Performing Organization Name(s) and Address(es).** Self-explanatory.

**Block 8. Performing Organization Report Number.** Enter the unique alphanumeric report number(s) assigned by the organization performing the report.

**Block 9. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Name(s) and Address(es).** Self-explanatory.

**Block 10. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Report Number.** (If Known)

**Block 11. Supplementary Notes.** Enter information not included elsewhere such as: Prepared in cooperation with...; Trans. of...; To be published in... . When a report is revised, include a statement whether the new report supersedes or supplements the older report.

### Block 12a. Distribution/Availability Statement.

Denotes public availability or limitations. Cite any availability to the public. Enter additional limitations or special markings in all capitals (e.g. NOFORN, REL, ITAR).

DOD - See DoDD 5230.24, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents."  
DOE - See authorities.  
NASA - See Handbook NHB 2200.2  
NTIS - Leave blank.

### Block 12b. Distribution Code.

DOD - Leave blank.  
DOE - Enter DOE distribution categories from the Standard Distribution for Unclassified Scientific and Technical Reports.  
NASA - Leave blank.  
NTIS - Leave blank.

**Block 13. Abstract.** Include a brief (*Maximum 200 words*) factual summary of the most significant information contained in the report.

**Block 14. Subject Terms.** Keywords or phrases identifying major subjects in the report.

**Block 15. Number of Pages.** Enter the total number of pages.

**Block 16. Price Code.** Enter appropriate price code (*NTIS only*)

**Blocks 17.-19. Security Classifications.** Self-explanatory. Enter U.S. Security Classification in accordance with U.S. Security Regulations (i.e., UNCLASSIFIED). If form contains classified information, stamp classification on the top and bottom of the page.

**Block 20. Limitation of Abstract.** This block must be completed to assign a limitation to the abstract. Enter either UL (unlimited) or SAR (same as report). An entry in this block is necessary if the abstract is to be limited. If blank, the abstract is assumed to be unlimited.